Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/712,659	BOURRIERES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher C. Johns	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is sufficient in the communication of the communication is sufficient in the communication of the communication is sufficient in the communication of the communi	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to <u>6 August 09</u> .		
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-4</u> .		
 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unally all blooms. All blooms of the: None of the: None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have all copies. Certified copies of the priority documents have all copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Certified copies not received: 	e been received. e been received in Applicatior	n No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		a reply complying with the requirements
 A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 		
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the such as the second content of the such as the second content of the such as the such as the second content of the such as the such as the second content of the such as the	son's Patent Drawing Review . s Amendment / Comment or l.84(c)) should be written on the the header according to 37 CFF	in the Office action of e drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT 		
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ⊠ Interview Su Paper No./N 7. ⊠ Examiner's A 8. ⊠ Examiner's S	ormal Patent Application Immary (PTO-413), Mail Date <u>20090820</u> . Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance Search Notes, Non-Patent Literature



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,659 Page 2 - 20090820

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

1. This Office Action is given Paper No. 20090820 for reference purposes only.

- 2. This Office Action is in response to the Amendment after Final, filed 6 August 2009.
- 3. All references to the capitalized version of "Applicant" refer specifically to the Applicant or Applicants of record in the instant application. Any references to lowercase versions of "applicant" or "applicants" refer to any or all patent applicants. Unless expressly noted otherwise, references to the capitalized version of "Examiner" refers to the Examiner of record while reference to or use of the lower case version of "examiner" or "examiners" refers to examiner(s) generally. The notations in this paragraph apply to any future Office actions from this Examiner.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 9 are pending.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

- 5. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.
- 6. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Walter J. Steinkraus (#29,592) on 20 and 21 August 2009.
- 7. The application has been amended as follows:

Application/Control Number: 10/712,659 Page 3 - 20090820

Art Unit: 3621

8. In claim 1, page 2, line 26, replace "an operator" with --a human operator--

- 9. In the specification, page 5, line 16, replace "later" with --latter--
- 10. In the specification, page 6, line 4, replace "an operator" with --a human operator--
- 11. In the specification, page 7, line 6, replace "a unique a non" with --a unique non--
- 12. Delete claim 9 (page 2, lines 25-26).

Reasons for Allowance

- 13. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:
- 14. Regarding the claimed terms, the Examiner notes that a "general term must be understood in the context in which the inventor presents it." *In re Glaug*, 283 F.3d 1335, 1340, 62 USPQ2d 1151, 1154 (Fed. Cir. 2002). Therefore, the Examiner must interpret the claimed terms as they are found on pages 1-7 of the specification. Clearly, almost all of the general terms in the claims may have multiple meanings. So, where a claim term "is susceptible to various meanings...the inventor's lexicography must prevail..." *Id.* Using these definitions for the claims, the claimed invention was not reasonably found in the prior art.
- 15. The primary references, Farrall (United Kingdom Patent 2,304,077), Houvener (United States Patent 5,657,389) and Pappu (United States Patent 6,584,214), disclose as previously

Application/Control Number: 10/712,659 Page 4 - 20090820

Art Unit: 3621

discussed (see at least Office Action of 12 May 2009). These references however, do not teach "a human operator visually comparing the identifier…located on the medium and its image displayed on the terminal and/or on the receipt of the printer".

16. Moreover, the missing claimed elements from Smith are not found in a reasonable number of references. Yet even if the missing claimed element were found in a reasonable number of references, a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would not have been motivated to include these missing elements in an embodiment in the Smith disclosure because: the disclosed system in Pappu teaches that the simplest equation to find the "correlation between two images" (images that are formed using the bubbling described by Pappu) is given by the formula on column 4, lines 35-51:

Most simply, the scattering pattern 112 is simply compared against a an expected pattern stored in analysis module 125, and a correlation score developed to assess the degree of similarity. Correlation is an analytical technique for comparing two data sets that is useful for finding similar regions in two images. The normalized correlation $\phi_{ab}(x,y)$ of two images described by the arrays a(x,y) and b(x,y), a and b representing some definitive encoded parameter such as luminance, is defined by

$$\phi_{ab}(x,y) = \frac{\displaystyle\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int (a(\xi-\eta)-\overline{a}) \langle b(\xi-x,\eta-y)-\overline{b} \rangle d\xi d\eta}{\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int (a(\xi-\eta)-\overline{a})^2 d\xi d\eta \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int (b(\xi-x,\eta-y)-\overline{b})^2 d\xi d\eta \right\}}$$

where \bar{a} is the mean value of a(x,y) and \bar{b} is the mean value of b(x,y).

- 17. A human operator could not possibly calculate this formula between two arbitrary images in any reasonable amount of time.
- 18. Therefore, it would NOT have been obvious to combine the references (Farrall, Houvener, and Pappu) with a reference teaching a human being performing an image analysis.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,659 Page 5 - 20090820

Art Unit: 3621

19. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Christopher C. Johns whose telephone number is (571)270-3462.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 am to 5 pm.

21. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher C Johns/

Examiner, Art Unit 3621

/ANDREW J. FISCHER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621